

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,041	07/13/2001	Marc Madou	22727/04096	2217
21021	7590 10/02/2002	ī ī Þ	EVAM	NED
CALFEE HA	LTER & GRISWOLD, OR AVENUE	LLP	EXAMINER CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA	
SUITE 1400	ACTIVETOE			
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/905,041	MADOU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the perior - If NO perior - Failure to - Any reph	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In so of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Tod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	el6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	<u>uly 2001</u> .					
,	,—	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5)□ C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) <u></u> C) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 C	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ C Application	laim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
	e specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
<i>,</i> —	All b) Some * c) None of:						
	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2.	 Certified copies of the priority documents 		on No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
		·					
 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892) If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Ition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trade	emark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/905,041

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction/Election

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-14, and 41, drawn to a synthetic multimeric biopolymer, classified in class 435, and subclass 22.1, and class 530 and subclass 350.
- II. Claims 15-37, and 40, drawn to a dispensing device, classified in class 435, subclass 286.4.
- III. Claims 38-39, drawn to a detection devise, classified in 435, and subclass 287.2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and II are unrelated because they are operated independently of each other and have different functions and results. For instance, the product of Group I can be used independently in materially different processes such as nucleic acid purification assays or ligand binding assays.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and III are unrelated because they are operated independently of each other and have different functions and results. For instance, the product of Group I can be used independently in materially different processes such as nucleic acid purification assays or ligand binding assays.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Application/Control Number: 09/905,041 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

£

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions II and III are unrelated because they are operated independently of each other and have different functions and results. For instance, the product of Group II results in dispensing a compound or substance, whereas the product of Group III results in detection of a target.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Election of Species:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (i). biopolymer comprising proteins and polypeptides
- (ii). biopolymer comprising nucleic acids
- (iii) biopolymer comprising peptide nucleic acids

Application/Control Number: 09/905,041

Art Unit: 1637

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 703-305-1004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru September 24, 2002

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER